

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,609	01/22/2004	Joseph Szwarc	P05871US01	5042
22885	7590 11/23/2005		EXAMINER	
MCKEE, VO 801 GRAND	OORHEES & SEASE,	HOANG, TU BA		
SUITE 3200	AVENOL	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DES MOINES	S, IA 50309-2721		3742	

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		e			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/762,609	SZWARC ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tu Ba Hoang	3742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 11 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft office of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply many	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin		in the final rejection, whi	ichover is later. In		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	E FIRST REPLY WAS FI	ILED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying t	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).		
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a): Ilowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will will will be will be will will be wil	ill be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-9 and 11-15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowar	nce because:		

Tu Ba Hoang Primary Examiner

13. Other: ____.

See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's argument now based on the discovery of proper selection of material to provide "a cumulative effect of reduction of resistance change due to power" with the "low TCR foil cemented to opposite sides of a substrate" as a new ground of argument which raises new issues that would now require further consideration. It is further noted that as applicant now indicated, the claimed invention requires "low TCR foil cemented to opposite sides of a substrate" hence requiring low TCR and power on both sides of the resistor which is essential for the precision of current sensor. Such argument if it were ealier presented, a rejection based on the art of record to Drabkin (US 5,039,976) cited by the Applicant would may have been made since Drabkin has clearly disclosed a resistor including at least a low TCR foil cemented to each one of the opposite sides of a substrate (see claim 35) for preventing bending as well as avoiding resistance changes to provide at least a cumulative effect of reduction of resistance change due to power (see column 5, lines 42-50 and column 6, lines 57-62).